

## Reference

- [1] B.Cahn, "Semisimple Lie Algebras and their Representations", Frontiers in Physics, Addison-Wesley publishing company, 1984; [http://phlyweb.lbl.gov/~mcahn/www/lie\\_algebras/texall.pdf](http://phlyweb.lbl.gov/~mcahn/www/lie_algebras/texall.pdf)
- [2] J. Fuchs, C. Schweigert, "Symmetries, Lie Algebras and Representations" Cambridge University Press 1997 {Schweigert, 1997 #8}
- [3] R. Slansky, "Group Theory For United Model Building." Phys. Rept. 79 (1981) 1. {Slansky, 1981 #9}
- [4] H. Georgi, "Lie Algebras in Particle Physics". Frontiers in Physics, Addison-Wesley publishing company, 1982 {Hatfield, 2018 #10}
- [5] F. Warner, "Foundations of differentiable manifolds and Lie groups". Scott, Foresman and Company, 1971 {Oyatara, 2017 #11}
- [6] C. Isham, "Modern Differential Geometry for Physicists" {Isham, 1999 #12}
- [7] J. Humphreys, "Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory". Springer, J. Humphreys, "Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory". Springer, {Humphreys, 2012 #13} Graduate Texts in Mathematics 9, 1972
- [8] J.F. Cornwell, "Group Theory in Physics I,II,(III?)". Academic Press 1984 {Cornwell, 1997 #16}
- [9] K. Schmüdgen, "Unbounded Self-Adjoint Operators on Hilbert Space. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 265 (Springer, Dordrecht, 2012) {Schmüdgen, 2012 #17}
- [10] I.E. Segal, "Mathematical problems of relativistic physics. In Proceedings of the Summer Seminar, Boulder, Colorado, 1960, ed. by M. Kac (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1963) {Segal, 1963 #18}
- [11] B. Simon, "Functional Integration and Quantum Physics, 2nd edn. (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005)
- [12] R.F. Streater, A.S. Wightman, "PCT, Spin and Statistics, and All That (Corrected third printing of the 1978 edition). Princeton Landmarks in Physics (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2000)

Now: if we have a basis Lie  $\mathfrak{g}$  algebra:

$so(3) = (x_1, x_2, x_3)_\mathbb{R}$  or some basis  $x_i$  one simply allows linear combinations over  $\mathbb{C}$  i.e.  $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathbb{R}^3$  by  $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathbb{C}^3$  extending the commutation relation  $so(3)_\mathbb{C} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)_\mathbb{C}$  from now on we work with lie algebras over  $\mathbb{C}$  which is very useful and much easier than  $\mathbb{R}$ , then finite rotations are given by  $e^u = e^{i u_k / \hbar} = (RC\vec{u}) \in so(3)$

Similarly, consider  $so(2)$ . According to the above, its lie algebra is

$$so(2) = \{A \in Mat(u, \mathbb{C}); A^T = -A = 0, Tr(A) = 0\}$$

We denote to a basis of  $su(2)$  by the pauli matrices  $X_i = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_i$  for

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence any  $u \in su(2)$  can be written uniquely as  $u = u_j (i\sigma_j)$ . Then

$$e^u = e^{u_j (i\sigma_j)} \in su(2)$$

Again, one defines the complex field generators  $J_k = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_k$

Which satisfy  $[J_i, J_j] = i \epsilon_{ijk} J_k$   $[x_i, x_j] = -\epsilon_{ijk} X_k$  then  $so(3) \cong su(2)$

Tensor product

If  $V$  and  $W$  are 2 representations of the lie group  $G$ , then so are  $V \otimes W$  by

$$T = G \rightarrow GL(V \otimes W)$$

$$g \rightarrow T_v(g) \otimes T_w(g)$$

Passing to the lie algebra by differentiating, this becomes  $\mathfrak{g} = e^{t[A^a]_{ab}}$ ,

$$T = \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow gl(v \otimes w) = gl(v) \otimes gl(w)$$

$$g \rightarrow T_v(g) \otimes |+\rangle \otimes T_w(g)$$

It is easy to check directly that this is a representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

This meaning of adding angular momenta in quantum mechanics via

$$J_i = L_i + S_i$$

For semi-simple lie algebras.

Thus we reproducing finite rotation matrices

$$\overrightarrow{R(\theta e_x)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ 0 & -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} = \overrightarrow{e^{i\theta J_x}} = e^{i\theta J_x}$$

Let see if this is true: using

$$J_x^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} e^{i\theta J_x} &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(i\theta J_x)^m}{m!} = 1 + J_x^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(i\theta)^{2n}}{(2n)!} + J_x \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(i\theta)^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} \\ &= 1 + J_x^2 (\cos\theta) - 1 + i J_x \sin(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ 0 & -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Example: consider  $so(3)$  we define

$$So(3) = \{A \in Mat(u, R); A^T = -A, Tr(A) = 0\}$$

A convenient basis of  $So(3)$  is given by

$$X_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, X_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence any  $u \in so(3)$  can be written uniquely as  $u = u_k X_k$ , and any element of  $so(3)$  can be written as  $e^{u} = e^{u_k X_k} \in so(3)$

Their Lie algebra is  $[X_i, X_j] = -\epsilon_{ijk} X_k$

It is easy to calculate the exponentials explicitly, reproducing finite rotation matrices in physics; one coefficient allows complex coefficients, defining

Which  $J_k = -iX_k$

Which are Hermitian  $J_i^\dagger = J_i$  and satisfy the rotation algebra?

$$[J_i, J_j] = i \epsilon_{ijk} J_k$$

$$[J_i^\dagger, J_j^\dagger] = i \epsilon_{ijk} J_k^\dagger$$

Let us calculate the corresponding left-invariant vector field:

$$X_g^A = dL_g(X_e^A) \quad (5.8)$$

We can use the same coordinates near  $e$  and  $g$ , so that the map  $L_g$  has the "coordinate expression"

$$L_g^{ij} = (gx)^{ij} = (g)^{ik}(x)^{kj} \quad (5.9)$$

We have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial L_g \partial x^{ij}} \Big|_g = \frac{\partial(L_g x)^{kl}}{\partial x^{ij}} \Big|_g \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{kl}} \Big|_g = \frac{\partial g^{km} x^{ml}}{\partial x^{ij}} \Big|_g = \delta^{ij} g^{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{kl}} \Big|_g = g^{kj} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{kl}} \Big|_g \quad (5.10)$$

Therefore for general  $X_e = A_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{ij}} \Big|_e$  we have

$$X_g^A = dL_g(X_e^A) = g^{ki} A_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial g^{kl}} \quad (5.11)$$

Where we write  $g^{ij} = x^{ij}(g)$  for the commutator. Let  $X^A, X^B$  be left-invariant vector fields as above. Noting that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial g^{kl}} \Big|_g g^{ki} = \delta^{ik} \delta^{jl} \quad (5.12)$$

and using (5.11) we have

$$\begin{aligned} [X^A, X^B] &= g^{ki} A_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial g^{kl}} g^{lm} B_{mj} \frac{\partial}{\partial g^{kn}} g^{kn} B_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial g^{kl}} g^{lm} A_{mj} \frac{\partial}{\partial g^{kn}} \\ &= g^{ki} A_{ij} B_{jj} \frac{\partial}{\partial g^{ij}} g^{ki} B_{ij} A_{jj} \frac{\partial}{\partial g^{ki}} \\ &= g^{ki} (A_{ij} B_{jj} - B_{ij} A_{jj}) \frac{\partial}{\partial g^{kl}} = [A, B]_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial g^{kl}} = X^{[A, B]} \quad (5.13) \end{aligned}$$

We used some concepts of differential geometry to find geometric representations of Lie Group and Lie Algebra. Finally the equations (5.8), (5.10), (5.11) (5.12) and (5.13) be geometrical representation of Lie Group and Lie Algebra.

Now we consider that

$$J_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Which is a rotation generator i.e  $J_x \in \mathfrak{so}(3)$ , we claimed previously that

$$sp(n) = \{A \in gl(n, R), A^T = -A\}$$

where the Lie algebra is again defined by the commutator.

Also, the space of vector fields on a manifold  $M$  together with the Lie bracket forms an infinitesimal Lie algebra.

Structure constants: Let  $g$  be a finite-dimensional Lie algebra, and let  $X_1, X_n$  be a basis for  $g$  (as a vector space). Then for each  $i, j$ ,  $[X_i, X_j]$  can be written uniquely in the form

$$[X_i, X_j] = \sum_{k=1}^n C_{ij}^k X_k \quad (5.4)$$

The constants  $C_{ij}^k$  are called the structure constants of  $g$  (with respect to the chosen basis). Clearly, the structure constants determine the bracket operation on  $g$ . (Often in physics one uses  $ig$  in order to have hermitian generators, which leads to

$$[X_i, X_j] = i \sum_{k=1}^n C_{ij}^k X_k \quad (5.5)$$

The structure constants satisfy the following two conditions,

$$C_{ij}^k + C_{ji}^k = 0 \text{ (antisymmetry)}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (C_{mk}^n + C_{jk}^m C_{mi}^k + C_{ki}^m C_{mj}^k) X_k \quad (5.6) \text{ (Jacobi identity)}$$

The Lie algebra of  $GL(n, R)$ :

Recall that  $GL(n, R)$  is an open subset of  $R^{n^2}$ . A natural coordinate system on  $GL(n, R)$  near the unit element  $e = 1$  is given by the "Cartesian matrix coordinates",

$$X^{ij}(g) = g^{ij} \quad (\text{i.e. } x: GL(n) \rightarrow R^{n^2})$$

Where  $g = g^{ij}$ , now we can say that basis of tangent vectors  $T_e(GL(n))$  is then given by the partial derivatives  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{ij}} \Big|_e$ , i.e. a general tangent vector at  $e$  has the form

$$X_e^A = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{ij}} \Big|_e A_{ij} \in R \quad (5.7)$$

(sum convention). Hence  $T_e(GL(n)) = Mat(n, R) = gl(n, R)$  as the vector space. Denote with  $gl(n) = Mat(n)$  the space of  $n \times n$  matrices. We want to show that

$$Lie(GL(n)) = gl(n)$$

As Lie algebras (with the commutator for  $gl(n)$ ), not just as vector spaces; the latter is evident.

A Homomorphism between Lie groups is a smooth map  $\phi: G \rightarrow H$  which is a group homomorphism.

If  $H = GL(V)$  for some vector space  $V$ , this is called a representation of  $G$ . One considers in particular the following types of representations:

$\pi: G \rightarrow GL(n, R)$   $n$  - dimensional "real" representation

$\pi: G \rightarrow GL(n, R)$   $n$  - dimensional "complex" representation

$\pi: G \rightarrow U(n)$   $n$  - dimensional "unitary" representation

A Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  over  $R$  (resp.  $C$  etc... any field) is a vector space over  $R$  respect and an operation ( a Lie bracket

$$[\cdot, \cdot]: \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$$

Which is bilinear over  $R$  (resp.  $C$ ) and satisfies

$$[X, Y] = -[Y, X]$$

and the Jacobi identity

$$[X, [Y, Z]] + [Z, [X, Y]] + [Z, [Y, X]] = 0 \quad (5.3)$$

The first property implies

$$[X, Y] = -[Y, X] = \text{"antisymmetry"}$$

Note: for any associative algebra  $A$ , there is an associated Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , which is  $A$  as a vector space and

$$[X, Y] = XY - YX \text{ "commutator" The Jacobi identity is then trivial}$$

Examples 5.1: let

$$\mathfrak{gl}(n, R) = \text{Mat}(n, R) = \text{Mat}(n \times n, R)$$

with  $[X, Y] = XY - YX$

The following Lie algebras are particularly important

$$\mathfrak{sl}(n, R) = \{A \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, R), \text{Tr}(A) = 0\}$$

$$\mathfrak{so}(n) = \{A \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, R), A^T = -A, \text{Tr}(A) = 0\}$$

$$\mathfrak{u}(n) = \{A \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, R), A^T = -A\}$$

$$\mathfrak{su}(n) = \{A \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, R), A^T = -A, \text{Tr}(A) = 0\}$$

**definition 4.3:** Lie brackets of vector fields: Let  $X, Y$  vector fields on  $M$ . Then one can define a new vector field

$[X, Y]$  on  $M$  via

$$[X, Y]_p(f) = X_p[Y(f)] - Y_p[X(f)] \quad (4.3)$$

One then easily shows

**Theorem 4.2 :**

$[X, Y]$  is indeed a vector field on  $M$  (derivation!)

- $[X, Y] = 0$ , hence  $[X, Y] = -[Y, X]$
- $X.[Y, Z] + [Z, [X, Y]] + [Z, [Y, X]] = 0$

$$[fX, gY] = fg[X, Y] + fX[g]Y - gY[f]X$$

Given a map  $\phi: M \rightarrow N$ , let  $\bar{X}, \bar{Y}$ ,  $\phi$ -related to  $[X, Y]$ .  $\bar{X}, \bar{Y}$  be vector field on  $N$  such that  $d\phi(X) = \bar{X}$  and  $d\phi(Y) = \bar{Y}$

$$\frac{\partial v^j(x)}{\partial x^i(x)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial x^i(x)}{\partial x^j(x)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} = 0 \quad (4.4)$$

Because the partial derivatives commute.

### 5-lie group

A Lie group  $G$  is a group which is also a differentiable manifold, such that the maps

$$\begin{aligned} \mu: G \times G &\rightarrow G \\ (g_1, g_2) &\rightarrow g_1 g_2 \quad (5.1) \end{aligned}$$

And

$(V: G \rightarrow G \text{ related}^*, \text{ etc.})$  Then  $[X, Y]$  is  $\phi$ -related to  $[\bar{X}, \bar{Y}]$ ,

$$\text{ie } d\phi([X, Y]) = d\phi(X), d\phi(Y). \quad (12)$$

In particular, the space of all vector fields is a (infinite-dimensional) Lie algebra!

In coordinate system, we can write the vector field as  $X = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i(x)}$  and similar  $Y$ . Then

$$[X, Y] = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i(x)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j(x)} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j(x)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i(x)} \quad (5.2)$$

$$g \rightarrow g^{-1}$$

SU(2) onto SO(3), and which is two-to-one. This is a nice illustration of the importance of global aspects of Lie groups.

**4-Differentiable manifolds:**

Here is a very short summary of the definitions and main concepts on differentiable manifolds. This is not entirely precise. The proofs can be found e.g. in [Warner]

**Definition 4.1:** A topological space  $M$  is a  $n$ -dimensional differentiable manifold if it comes with a family  $\{f(U_i, \varphi_i)\}$  of coordinate systems ("charts") such that

- (1)  $U_i \subset M$  open,  $U_i U_j = U_i \cap U_j$  and  $\varphi_i: U_i \rightarrow V_i \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  a homeomorphism (=continuous and invertible)
- (2)  $\varphi_i \varphi_j^{-1}$  smooth ( $C^\infty$ ) where defined  $x^m(p)$

**Notation:**

$$\varphi(p) = \begin{pmatrix} x^1(p) \\ \vdots \\ x^n(p) \end{pmatrix}, \quad p \in M \quad (4.1)$$

**Definition 4.2:** smooth maps are

$$C^\infty(M) = \{f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$$

$$C^\infty(M) = \{f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, C^\infty\} \quad (4.2)$$

the latter means that  $\varphi_N \circ f \circ \varphi_M^{-1}$  is smooth for all  $C^\infty$  coordinate systems if defined.

A smooth invertible map between manifolds  $\phi: M \rightarrow N$  is called a diffeomorphism.

**5-Tangential space:** let  $p \in M_n$ . The tangential space of  $M$  at  $p$  is defined as the space of all derivations (= "directional derivatives") of functions at  $p$ , i.e.

$$T_p M = \{X: C^\infty(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ derivation}\}$$

Which means that

$$X[\lambda f + \mu g] = [\lambda X[f] + \mu X[g]], \quad f, g \in C^\infty(M), \quad \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$X[fg] = f(p) X[g] + g(p) X[f] \quad (4.3)$$

In particular, this implies  $X[c] = 0$



all  $v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{C}_n$  i.e., that  $U$  preserves the inner product on, By taking the determinant of the condition  $U^*U = 1$ , we see that  $|\det U| = 1$  for all  $U \in$

$U_{(n)}$ . In this, the finite-dimensional case, the condition  $U^*U = 1$  implies that  $U^*$  is the inverse of  $U$  and thus that  $U^*U = 1$ . This result does not hold in the infinite-dimensional case.

Now we have example (3): A  $n \times n$  real matrix  $R \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$  is said to be orthogonal if  $R^{tr}R = 1$ . A matrix  $R$  is orthogonal if and only if

$$w \cdot v = 0$$

for all  $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . The group of orthogonal matrices is denoted  $O(n)$  and is called the  $(n \times n)$  orthogonal group. The special orthogonal group, denoted  $SO(n)$ , is the subgroup of  $O(n)$  consisting of orthogonal matrices with determinant 1.

**Comment:** the condition  $R^{tr}R = 1$  implies that  $RR^{tr} = 1$  and that the columns of  $R$  form an orthonormal set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Geometrically,

a real matrix  $R$  is in  $O(n)$  if and only if  $Rv_1, Rv_2 = v_1, v_2$  for all  $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  i.e., if and only if  $R$  preserves the inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . By taking the determinant of the condition  $R^{tr}R = 1$  we see that  $\det R = \pm 1$  for all  $R \in O(n)$ .

### Lie groups in physics

#### 3.1 The rotation group $SO(3)$ and its universal covering group $SU(2)$

$SO(3)$  is the rotation group of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  which is relevant in classical Mechanics. It acts on the space  $\mathbb{R}^3$  as  $SO(3) \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  as

$$\begin{aligned} SO(3) \times \mathbb{R}^3 &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \\ (g, x) &\rightarrow gx \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

In particular, this is the simplest of all representations of  $SO(3)$ , denoted by

$$\pi_3: SO(3) \rightarrow GL(\mathbb{R}^3) \quad (3.4)$$

If a physical system is isolated, one should be able to rotate it, i.e. there should be an action of  $SO(3)$  on the space of states  $M$  (=configuration space). In Quantum Mechanics, the space of states  $V$  (the Hilbert space), which therefore should be a representation of  $SO(3)$ .

It turns out that sometimes (if we deal with spin),  $SO(3)$  should be "replaced" by the "spin group"  $SU(2)$ . In fact,  $SU(2)$  and  $SO(3)$  are almost (but not quite!) isomorphic. More precisely, there exists a Lie group homomorphism  $\theta: SU(2) \rightarrow SO(3)$  which maps

suggests, most one-parameter unitary groups that arise in applications are not continuous in the operator norm topology.

Before giving the proof of Stone's theorem, let us work out the generator of the group in [let  $H = L^2R^n$ ] and let  $U_a(t)$  be the translation operator given by

$$(U_a(t)\varphi(x) = \varphi(x + ta))$$

Then  $U(\cdot)$  is a strongly continuous one-operator unitary group

**Definition 3.2:** If  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are matrix Lie groups, then a Lie group homomorphism of  $G_1$  to  $G_2$  is a continuous group homomorphism of  $G_1$  into  $G_2$ . A Lie group homomorphism is called a Lie group isomorphism if it is one-to-one and onto with continuous inverse. Two matrix Lie groups are called isomorphic if there exists a Lie group isomorphism between them. {Hall, 2015 #7}

**Example 3.2:** The real general linear group, denoted  $GL(n, R)$ , is the group of invertible  $n \times n$  matrices with real entries. The groups  $SL(n, C)$  and  $SL(n, R)$  are, respectively, the groups of complex and real matrices with determinant 1. They are called the special linear groups.

**Example 3.3:** An  $n \times n$  matrix  $U \in M_n(C)$  is said to be unitary if

$U^* U = I$ . A matrix  $U$  is unitary if and only if

$U^* v = w$ , for all  $v, w \in C^n$ . The group of unitary matrices is denoted  $U(n)$  and called the  $(n \times n)$  unitary group. The special unitary group, denoted  $SU(n)$ , is the subgroup of  $U(n)$  consisting of unitary matrices with determinant 1.

**Definition 3.3:** Orthogonal vectors. We say that two vectors are orthogonal, or perpendicular, if their inner product is 0. {Hall, 2015 #7}

The condition  $(U^* U_{jk} = \delta_{jk})$  is equivalent to the condition that the (12)

**Example 3.4:**

The vectors  $v = \begin{bmatrix} i \\ i \end{bmatrix}$   $u = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$ :  $v \cdot u = 0$ , this implies that  $v$  and  $u$  are orthogonal i.e.  $v, u = 0$ , in quantum mechanics if we determine the quantum state  $u$  and  $v$  to any particle must be are orthogonal.

Columns of  $U$  form an orthonormal set in  $C_n$ , as can be seen by direct computation. Geometrically, the condition  $U^* U = 1$  is equivalent to the condition that  $U_{v_1}, U_{v_2} = v_1, v_2$  for

$[X, Y] = XY - YX$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

**Example 3.1:** The Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{u}(n)$  and  $\mathfrak{su}(n)$  of  $U(n)$  and  $SU(n)$  are given by:

$$U(n) = \{X \in M_n | X^* = -X\}$$

$\mathfrak{su}(n) = \{X \in \mathfrak{u}(n) | \text{trace}(X) = 0\}$ . The Lie algebra of  $O(n)$  is equal to  $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ .

Finally, the Lie algebra of  $D(n)$  is equal to  $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ .

**Proof:** If  $X^* = -X$ , then by Property 2 of the above Theorem

Theorem

$$(e^{tX})^* = e^{tX^*} = e^{-tX} = (e^{tX})^{-1} \quad (3.1)$$

Showing that  $e^{tX}$  is unitary. In the other direction, if  $e^{tX}$  is unitary for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

then  $(e^{tX})^* = (e^{tX})^{-1}$

. Thus,  $(e^{tX})^* = (e^{tX})^{-1}$ . Differentiating this relation at  $t = 0$ , using (2.4) gives  $X^* = -X$

. Thus, the Lie algebra of the first three properties of  $\mathfrak{g}$  say that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a real vector space.

Since  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is an associative algebra under the operation of matrix multiplication, the last

property of  $\mathfrak{g}$  shows that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a real Lie algebra Proposition (3.1) For a particle moving in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  the

Hamiltonian flow generated by the angular momentum function

$$J(x, p) = x_1 p_2 - x_2 p_1$$

Consists of simultaneous rotations of  $x$  and  $p$ . That is to say,

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} x_1(t) \\ x_2(t) \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} \cos t & -\sin t \\ \sin t & \cos t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1(0) \\ x_2(0) \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} p_1(t) \\ p_2(t) \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} \cos t & -\sin t \\ \sin t & \cos t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_1(0) \\ p_2(0) \end{bmatrix} \quad (3.2) \end{aligned}$$

Angular momentum is a particularly useful concept when a system has rotational symmetry,

since in that case the angular momentum is a conserved quantity. (1)

**Theorem 3.1: (Stone's Theorem)** Suppose  $U(\cdot)$  is a strongly continuous one-parameter unitary group on  $H$ . Then the infinitesimal generator  $A$  of  $U(\cdot)$  is densely defined and self-adjoint, and  $U(t) = e^{itA}$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $U(\cdot)$  is a strongly continuous one-parameter unitary

group, then  $U(\cdot)$  is continuous in the operator norm topology if and only if the infinitesimal generator of  $U(\cdot)$  is a bounded operator .

$$SU(2) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & -\beta \\ \beta & \alpha \end{bmatrix}, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}, |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1 \right\} \quad (4)$$

**Definition 2.2.**

An action of a Lie group  $G$  on a manifold  $M$  is an assignment to each  $g \in G$  a diffeomorphism  $p(g) \in \text{Diff } M$  such that  $p(1) = \text{id}$ ,  $p(gh) = p(g)p(h)$  and such that the map  $p: G \rightarrow \text{Diff } M$  is a smooth map

**Definition 2.3** A Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a vector space over a field  $k$  with a bilinear composition law  $(x, y) \rightarrow [x, y]$

$$[x, ay + bz] = a[x, y] + b[x, z]$$

with  $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $a, b \in K$  and such that

1.  $[x, x] = 0$
2.  $[x, [y, z]] + [z, [x, y]] + [y, [z, x]] = 0$ ; (Jacobi identity)

Notice that

$$[x, y] = -[y, x], \text{ since } [x + y, x + y] = 0 = [x, y] + [y, x]$$

**3-The Lie Algebra of a Matrix Lie Group**

We now associate a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  to each matrix Lie group  $G$ .

**Definition 3.1:** If  $G \subset GL(n; \mathbb{C})$  is a matrix Lie group, then the Lie

$$\mathfrak{g} = \{X \in (M_n(\mathbb{C})) | e^{tX} \in G \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

That is to say,  $X$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{g}$  if and only if the one-parameter subgroup generated by  $X$  lies entirely in  $G$ . Note that to have  $X$  belong to  $\mathfrak{g}$ , we need only have  $e^{tX}$  belong to  $G$  for all real numbers  $t$ .

**Proposition 3.1:** For any matrix Lie group  $G$ , the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $G$  has the following properties.

1. The zero matrix  $0$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{g}$ .
2. For all  $X$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $e^{tX}$  belongs to  $G$  for all real numbers  $t$ .
3. For all  $X$  and  $Y$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $X + Y$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{g}$ .
4. For all  $A \in G$  and  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  we have  $A X A^{-1} \in \mathfrak{g}$ .
5. For all  $X$  and  $Y$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ , the commutator

### 1-Introduction:

Consider Lie group and Lie algebra have a great role in explaining many concepts in theoretical physics. In this study we trying explain Lie group and Lie algebra application in quantum mechanic

### 2- Basic concept

If  $H$  is a Hilbert space and  $A \in B(H)$  is a non-negative self-adjoint operator on  $H$ , then it can be shown that  $A$  has a well-defined (but possibly infinite) trace. What this means is that the value of  $\text{trace}(A) = \sum_j e_i A e_j$  is the same for each orthonormal basis  $\{e_i\}$  of  $H$ . Note that since  $A$  is a non-negative operator,  $e_i A e_j$  is a non-negative real number, so that the sum is always defined, but may have the value  $+\infty$ . Now, if  $A$  is any bounded operator, then  $A^* A$  is self-adjoint and nonnegative. We say that  $A$  is Hilbert-Schmidt if

$$\text{trace}(A^* A) < \infty \quad (1)$$

Given two Hilbert-Schmidt operators  $A$  and  $B$ , it can be shown that  $A^* B$  is a trace-class operator, meaning that the sum

$$\text{trace}(A) = \sum_j e_i A^* B e_j \quad (2)$$

**Definition 2.1.** A Lie group is a set  $G$  with two structures:  $G$  is a group and  $G$  is a (smooth, real) manifold. These structures agree in the following sense: multiplication and inversion are smooth maps.

A morphism of Lie groups is a smooth map which also preserves the group operation:

$$f(gh) = f(g)f(h), f(1) = 1.$$

In a similar way, one defines complex Lie groups. However, unless specified otherwise, "Lie group" means a real Lie group. The following are examples of Lie groups

(1)  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , with the group operation given by addition

(2)  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \cdot)$

$(\mathbb{R}^+, \cdot)$

(3)  $[S^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}, \cdot]$

(4)  $GL(n, \mathbb{R}) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Many of the groups we will consider will be subgroups of  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$  or  $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$

(5)  $SU(2) = \{A \in GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \mid AA^t = 1, \det A = 1\}$ . Indeed, one can easily see that

## مستخلص

جبر لي له أهمية كبيرة في علم الفيزياء وله استخدامات في الفيزياء الكمية هدفت. الدراسة لتوضيح بعض الجوانب الهندسية لزمر لي وجبر لي، وكذلك إسهاماتها في إنتاج مصفوفات الدورانات المحدودة، كما تهدف إلى معرفة ما إذا كان بالإمكان استخدام متعدد الطيات التفاضلي لإظهار البنية الهندسية لزمر لي. توصلت الدراسة إلى أنه يمكن تمثيل زمر لي وجبر لي هندسياً مستخدمين مفهوم متعدد الطيات التفاضلي وكذلك استنساخ مصفوفات الدورانات المحدودة.

### Abstract

The study aims to clarify some geometric aspects of Li groups and Li algebras, as well as their contributions to the production of matrices of finite rotations. The study also aims to use differential manifold to show the geometric structure of Li groups. The study concluded that Li groups and Li algebras can be represented geometrically using sometimes the concept of differential manifold as well as reproducing finite rotation matrices due to the importance of their uses in quantum physics.

**Key words:** lie group, lie algebra, trace(A), differential manifold.